



“The mission of the City of Safford is to make Safford
a great place to live, work, and visit ”

**CITY OF SAFFORD
COUNCIL MEETING MINUTES
Monday, August 11, 2014 @ 6:00 PM
Safford Library Program Room, 808 S. 7th Avenue, Safford, Arizona**

PRESENT: Wyn “Chris” Gibbs, Mayor; Mary Bingham, Vice Mayor; Council Member’s Gene Seale, Arnold A. Lopez, Kenneth Malloque, Richard Ortega and James D. Howes.

STAFF PRESENT: Horatio Skeete, City Manager; Sandy Findley, Executive Assistant; Joe Brugman, Chief of Police; Jenny Howard, Public Works Director; Randy Petty, City Engineer, Dustin Welker, Planning and Community Development Director; Kim Larkey, Business Services Administrator; Eric Buckley, Utilities Director, LeAnne McElroy, Library Director, and Georgia Luster, City Clerk. Dale Clark assisted with the audio/video recording of the meeting.

OTHERS PRESENT: Steve McGaughey, Cade Bryce, Sam Napier, Dan Braatz, Michael Thompson, Harry Williams, Ed Ragland, Aimee Staten, James Bryce, Erik Swanson, Dennis Delaney, Jay Moyes, Wade Barnett, and others who did not sign in. Kelly Van Shaar video recorded the meeting.

1. **WELCOME AND CALL TO ORDER:** Mayor Gibbs called the meeting to order at 6:00 p.m.
2. **ROLL CALL:** A quorum of the Council was present (7).
3. **PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE TO THE FLAG:** Mayor Gibbs led the Pledge of Allegiance to the Flag.
4. **OPENING PRAYER:** Bill Johnson, Desert Springs Church, offered the opening prayer.
5. **CITIZEN COMMENTS ON AGENDA ITEMS:** NONE
6. **PROCLAMATIONS:**
 1. **Proclamation proclaiming August, 2014 Child Support Awareness Month.** It was moved by Councilman Ortega, seconded by Councilman Lopez, and carried unanimously proclaiming August, 2014 Child Support Awareness Month.
MOTION ADOPTED

2. **Proclamation proclaiming week of September 22-26, 2014 as Employer Support of the Guard and Reserve Week.** It was moved by Councilman Ortega, seconded by Councilman Malloque and carried unanimously proclaiming week of September 22-26, 2014 as Employer Support of the Guard and Reserve Week.
MOTION ADOPTED

7. **CONSENT ITEMS: The Mayor and City Council may wish to consider approving Items 1 through 12 as Consent Agenda Items** .

1. July 14, 2014 Council Meeting Minutes
2. Police Report
3. Building Inspection Report
4. Public Works Report
5. Business License Report for July and License Renewal Delinquent List
6. Library Report
7. Utility Consumption/Water Production Reports
8. Summary of Projects Planning and Grants Administration
9. Prosecution Report
10. Airport Report for April (Report not available)
11. Expense Report over \$5,000
12. Purchasing Card Report ending May 20, 2014

Mr. Skeete stated staff is revising departmental reports making them more user-friendly. He asked the Police Chief, Library Director, City Clerk, and Utility Director to explain the revisions of their reports.

It was moved by Councilman Malloque, seconded by Vice Mayor Bingham, and carried unanimously to approve Items 1 through 12 as Consent Agenda Items as published (Airport Report was not available). **MOTION ADOPTED**

8. **NEW BUSINESS:**

1. **Request for the City Council to adopt Resolution Number 14-035 authorizing the City Manager to execute Right-of-Way Easement to Graham County Electric Cooperative, Inc. to operate and maintain electrical utilities at the Safford Regional Airport.** Eric Buckley, Utilities Director, explained the easement is a result of the Territorial Settlement Agreement allowing Graham County Electric Cooperative to operate and maintain their newly acquired electrical equipment on property at the Safford Regional Airport.

It was moved by Councilman Lopez, seconded by Councilman Malloque, and carried unanimously to adopt Resolution Number 14-035 authorizing the City

Manager to execute Right-of-Way Easement to Graham County Electric Cooperative, Inc. to operate and maintain electrical utilities at the Safford Regional Airport. **MOTION ADOPTED**

2. **Request for the City Council to consider a request from the Boys and Girls Club of the Gila Valley for financial assistance for the month of September 2014.** Aimee Staten, Executive Director, of the Boys & Girls Club of the Gila Valley addressed the Council requesting financial assistance for the month of September. She explained there are several grants pending or in the process of being written; but, none of them are available to the Club until the end of September. The Club needs about \$12,000 to remain open during the month of September, otherwise the Club will need to close for the month of September. She stated the Club is trying to become less dependent on grants and to also rely on individual contributions. Gila Valley Recreation Foundation contributed \$5,000. David Konopnicki contributed \$5,000 along with additional pledges of \$5,000 for 2015 and \$5,000 for 2016. She believes the community has a desire to support the Club, but the Club is learning as well as the public learning who the Club is and what they can do. She expects to provide a proposal to Graham County and the Town of Thatcher to request financial assistance tomorrow. The Club deeply appreciates the support the City of Safford provides. It will take the community to keep the Boys and Girls Club open.

Councilman Ortega stated that he supports the Boys and Girls Club and made a motion to help keep the Club open by authorizing the City Manager to identify funds not to exceed \$12,000 pursuant to receiving a proposal from the Club indicating a match of funds from Graham County. Councilman Howes seconded the motion. Discussion followed.

Mr. Skeete said the City of Safford recognizes the value of the Boys and Girls Club to the community; but, at the same time, the Club and its members must be responsible and accountable for their budget. Each city department is held accountable for the operations of their budget. The Council budget has \$5,000 not specifically allocated, but can be used for contingency purposes if the Council so directs. However, if the Council directs more than a \$5,000 donation to the Club, he would need to explore other departmental budgets and redirect expenses. He suggested the Club submit a plan of action to the Council on how the Club plans to achieve and expend its resources.

Councilman Seale recommended the Council call a meeting at the end of the month to address the Club's financial request once the Club has a commitment from Graham County. At that time the Council may consider matching funds if the County participates and at that time consider donating more or less funds to the Club.

Councilman Malloque recommended tabling the item until the Club has approached Graham County and Town of Thatcher with a proposal and at that time to revisit their request.

Councilman Ortega stated that he wants to ensure the Club stays open. He made a motion to authorize the City Manager to contribute funds not to exceed \$12,000 pursuant to receiving a proposal from the Club indicating a match of funds from Graham County. Councilman Howes seconded the motion. Councilman Seale amended the motion, authorizing the City Manager to contribute \$5,000 in support of the Boys and Girls Club pending receipt of a proposal from the Boys and Girls Club indicating a match of funds from Graham County. Councilman Malloque seconded. The motion carried unanimously. **MOTION ADOPTED**

If Graham County does not match funds, the Council may give consideration to donating additional funds not to exceed \$12,000 at the next meeting.

9. CONTRACTS, AGREEMENTS, BIDS:

1. **Request for the City Council to approve Intergovernmental Agreement between the Town of Pima and City of Safford to furnish qualified mechanics and provide services on the Town of Pima fire trucks.** Jenny Howard, Public Works Director, explained the agreement has been in place for several years with the Town of Pima.

It was moved by Councilman Malloque, seconded by Councilman Lopez, and approved unanimously to approve Intergovernmental Agreement between the Town of Pima and City of Safford to furnish qualified mechanics and provide services on the Town of Pima fire trucks. **MOTION ADOPTED**

2. **Request for the City Council to approve a month-to-month Agreement for Hangar CH-1 at the Safford Regional Airport with Wade Barnett.** Dustin Welker, Planning and Community Development Director, explained this Hangar was discussed in a previous council meeting and the Council released Ponderosa Aviation from this lease of Hangar CH-1 and directed staff to pursue an "As-is" month-to-month lease with a tenant.

It was moved by Councilman Malloque, seconded by Vice Mayor Bingham, and carried unanimously to approve a month-to-month Agreement for Hangar CH-1 at the Safford Regional Airport with Wade Barnett. **MOTION ADOPTED**

3. **Presentation by Dennis Delaney and Jay Moyes regarding Southwest Public Power Agency (S.P.P.R.)** (Attached PowerPoint Presentation).

Mr. Skeete explained this item is to revisit the Southwest Power Purchase Agreement that the Council has been engaged in over the past few years. He provided a summary of how the agreement originated. He noted that anytime a jurisdiction enters into joint agreements with other political subdivisions, there are always a number of things they wished they had that they did not get. He referred to some of the terms and conditions in this agreement that were approved in the past and are now being asked to amend. There are a number of things in here that I wished we had; and, there are a number of things that need better understanding of how we got to this point. The proposed agreement is for twenty-five (25 years) and comes with some variations and fluctuations and some speculations on our part. Unfortunately, a number of things are confusing in some respects. Mr. Delaney and Mr. Moyes will explain the agreement and the amendment proposed by the group to help the Council understand where we are and where we are going with this agreement. Mr. Skeete introduced Dennis Delaney and Jay Moyes.

At 7:12 p.m. the Council took a short break and reconvened open session at 7:18:21 p.m.

Mr. Dennis Delaney stated he is a partner in the Firm of K.R. Saline and Associates and has worked with the City of Safford for 20-25 years. He introduced Jay Moyes, former partner of Bill Sims. Mr. Moyes also has worked with the City for a number of years.

Mr. Delaney provided a summary of Safford's historical power supply arrangements and how the City got to this point. Safford has under contract through 2017, power from Hoover Dam through the Arizona Power Authority. Safford is in the process of renewing this contract possibly for a minimum of thirty years and potentially as much as fifty years. Safford also has a power contract through 2024 from the Glen Canyon Dam (CRSP). Discussions of renewal of the CRSP contracts have begun well in advance. Safford had a power contract with Navajo Power that terminated in 2009. Since 2009 and to date, the majority of Safford's power supply has come from a Pool (Safford is a member of the Pool) called the Integrated Resource Scheduling Pool that is focused around the CRSP contract. Safford also gets some power from another Pool managed by the Arizona Power Authority (APA) from the Hoover exchange

pool that provides another resource opportunity. Those resources, especially from CRSP are generally thermal power from power plants throughout the west that are managed by Western Area Power Administration. Back in the 2006 timeframe, the public power community in Arizona was told by the larger utilities and our State Commission that the larger utilities could no longer be building resources to serve wholesale loads and that wholesale loads needed to start managing their own future power supply. Therefore, the APS's, Tucson's of the world and the Salt Rivers of the world are no longer planning to make wholesale sales to small utilities as they had for decades. That started a process we refer to as S.P.P.R. (Southwest Public Power Research Group) which was a collection of the small public power entities in the state and the Co-ops on a joint effort to start planning for future power supply needs. That process ultimately resulted in this Purchase Power Agreement (PPA) that was executed in 2011. Prior to the Purchase Power Agreement, an extensive process to buy power plants, build power plants, and attempts to get other contracts was done. Then the economy changed and retracted. At this time, the group entered into a 25 year contract, beginning in January 2015 through 2039, with Sempra Generation. Sempra owns a large gas powered power plant adjacent to the Palo Verde Nuclear Power Plant in Phoenix. Safford is one of twenty-one (21) entities in this group. The seller did not want to deal with 21 individual entities and opted to work with one group that put together an Administrative and Scheduling Agent that will act as the party who interacts with the seller and arranges the scheduling and when to dispatch the power and so forth. That agreement was put together in 2011 and had a lot of unknowns. This is one of the agreements being proposed to be amended and restated. Also, in about 2012-2013 the group started working on a power pool after state law was changed that would authorize joint action agencies. Arizona is actually one of the last states to actually have that authorization. If the group had joint action agency prior to the contract being executed, the contract would have been between the joint action agency and the seller, not between the seller and twenty-one (21) buyers under one contract. The contract is very complicated because of the number of buyers/parties and is part of the reason of the proposed amendment. The documents before the Council tonight are:

- Intergovernmental Agreement for the SPPA Joint Action Agency
- SPPA Pooling Project Agreement- A project underneath the joint action agency
- SPPA will be entering into another agreement with Sempra to help manage short term access to markets - to buy power when needed and to sell excess power when needed. Agreement will be executed by SPPA on behalf of its members.
(This agreement is not finalized and is not a part of the packet).

Mr. Skeete asked Mr. Delaney if the City of Safford has a formal agreement to buy power through a pool arrangement. Mr. Delaney explained an agreement was entered into in the late 90's and is called the Integrated Resource Scheduling of CRSP Power that the customers in Arizona arranged. K.R. Saline and Associates manages this Pool. SPPA will absorb this Pool (Integrated Resource Scheduling CRSP Pool, absorb management of the Hoover Resources

and manage the new PPA). The existing Pool is the genesis of the new Pool. K.R. Saline will manage the Pool.

Councilman Malloque recalled the City looking into purchased power from a Power plant in Gila Bend.

Mr. Delaney responded that this contract is the outcome of those discussions. He provided a brief summary:

- 2006 - Created SPPR Group - not an entity because didn't have joint action agency authority - just a collection of folks.
- Attempted to buy a power plant, building a power plant - looked at coal, looked at gas, looked at renewable resources.
- About 2008-2009 when the economy tanked and the owners of the Gila River Power Plant approached the group and offered to sell one quarter of the plant which kicked off the process that lead to some RFP's, lead to some due diligence because the Co-op's that are part of the SPPR are regulated by the ACC so there is a process that they must follow.
- Out of that RFP came this proposal from SEMPRA that was deemed to be better than buying or building a power plant or doing nothing in the short term.

Jay Moyes clarified that the Southwest Public Power Resources Group (SPPR) embodies a larger number of entities than the now proposed SPPA Joint Action Agency. SPPR still exists and could pursue other projects on behalf of the larger group. But, for the time being, the SPPA group is focusing on the smaller number of entities who are joining to form the Southwest Public Power Agency (SPPA) which is now formed as a joint action agency.

Mr. Delaney provided the details of the Purchase Power Agreement (PPA). He explained the concepts of "take-or-pay" and "take-and-pay". "Take-or-pay" charges should be viewed as "sunk" costs and are related to the capacity (MS). "Take-and-pay" energy charges are variable costs tied to Safford's energy schedules from the PPA. These charges should be viewed as fuel costs and are energy related (MWH).

Mr. Skeete commented that the fixed component of the agreement does not work very well for Safford because Safford may use five (5) megawatts and pay for fifteen (15) during the winter.

Mr. Delaney continued the overview of the PPA.

- PPA 1st Amendment - proved for increased capacity reservation. Three parties increased their capacity reservations - ED3, ED2 and ED8.
- PPA 2nd Amendment provides for flexibility for letter of credit to be used to satisfy a large portion of Buyers' security deposit requirement, replaces Administrative and Scheduling Agent, and number of other minor clarifications.

- PPA 2nd Amendment requires approval of 66% of total contract capacity (179 MW of the 271). NTUA and Safford are only remaining Buyers yet to take formal action to approve. Seller signed PPA 2nd Amendment on August 5th, PPA 2nd Amendment is in force, Safford's approval is a formality at this point.

Administrative and Scheduling Agreement (A&SA) Overview:

- Seller required the "Buyers" to assign an agent to act on behalf of the "Buyers"
 - Safford, as one "Buyer," contracted for 15MW of capacity
 - The total contract is for 271 MW of capacity:
 - ✓ Largest Buyer is Electrical District N. Three (ED3) with 85 MW (serves Maricopa community and surrounding areas.
 - ✓ Smallest Buyer is Ocotillo Water Conservation District (OWCD) with 1 MW
 - ✓ Average Buyer is 12.9 MW
 - AEPCO Control Area - 79 MW or approximately 29% of the total contract (GRICUA - 30 MW, ED4-20 MW, Safford -15 MW, Thatcher - 7 MW, ES - 5 MW, and AEPCO - 2 MW)
- The Amended and Restated Administration and Scheduling Agreement
 - Changes "Agent" from AEPCO to Southwest Public Power Agency, Inc. (SPPA)
 - Removes already completed requirement (i.e., the development funding true-up)
 - Has already received approval of at least 66% of contract capacity
 - This Amended and Restated Administration and Scheduling Agreement is in place
 - Safford's approval is a formality at this point

Southwest Public Power Agency (SPPA), Inc., Agreement

- SPPS is the joint action agency the PPA buyers have formed to manage their PPA and other electric power supply contracts.
 - Membership is not limited to PPA Buyers (envision more entities)
 - SPPS will develop a formal power pooling program
 - ✓ Currently, Safford's Hoover/APA and Colorado River Storage Project (CRSP)/Glen Canyon Dam power supply is managed by K.R. Saline & Associates (KRSA)
 - ✓ Existing pooling opportunities for CRSP and Hoover are part of the KRSA services currently provided
 - ✓ The SPPS Power Pool is modeled after the existing CRSP process
 - As of 2015, KRSA is moving all power management services (and fees) into the SPPA organization and operations
- The SPPA joint action agency formation documents were filed with the Arizona Corporation Commission in July 2014

- The Intergovernmental Agreement (IGA) establishes the goals, organizational structure, powers and voting procedures for SPPS
- The initial members of SPPA are the same as the PPA Buyers; to date, 18 of 21 eligible PPA contractors have joined SPPS.
 - AEPCO is ineligible because of Arizona statute related to joint action agency
 - NTUA is expected to approve the SPPA Agreement in August
 - Safford expected to approve the SPPS Agreement in September
- SPPA is currently envisioned to undertake only a single project, the SPPA Power Pool, managed by the SPPA member
- The SPPA Pooling Agreement is the document that describes how the parties will manage and schedule the majority of the SPPA participants' resources
- The initial term is for 5 years (agreement with agency to manage 25 year agreement) (any single member may withdraw from the pooling aspect and manage on their own with one-year notice)
- Voting will be by SPPA Pooling Agreement participants only
- Safford will need to designate a SPPA representative and alternate
- SPPA Pooling expands Safford's resource management and rate stabilization opportunities
 - SPPA Pooling will provide Safford with monthly management options to dispose of surplus energy or purchase additional energy as needed to meet Safford's seasonally driven electric load (Safford's 2013 Summer peak demand was 21 MW and 2013 Winter peak demand was 10 MW). Safford currently has 1 MW through CRSP through 2024; 2 MW from Hoover thru 2017; and beginning in January 2015 - 15 MW with this new agreement). Safford still has some MW shortages, but the Pool will help manage.
 - SPPA Pooling will have access to the Palo Verde electric marketplace for long term power supply cost management opportunities. Existing pools do not have access.
- SEMPRA Energy Management Services (EMS) provides future resource management tools
 - Requires execution of Confidentiality Agreement to continue negotiations disclosing SEMPRA EMS sensitive proprietary material.

Summary: Agreements for Safford Review and Possible Action in September

- PPA 2nd Amendment
- Amended and Restated Administration and Scheduling Agreement
- SPPA Intergovernmental Agreement

- SPPA Pooling Project Agreement
- Designation of Safford's SPPA Director and Alternate Director for SPPA voting
- Confidentiality Agreement with SEMPRA EMA

Mr. Skeete explained that Mr. Delaney and Mr. Moyes were invited tonight for the purpose of giving the Council the benefit of reviewing and understanding the history of the (SPPA) Agreement before asking the Council to take action. The agreement will be brought back to the Council in September for action.

Mr. Skeete commented on the affects the agreement will have in respect to future costs and asked Mr. Delaney to explain the alternatives analyzed before proceeding with the fixed SPPA contract.

Mr. Delaney explained the SPPA proposal is a much cheaper route to take than any of the other alternatives explored. He explained the purchase of the Gila River Plant was beyond the capabilities of the group and the costs were astronomical. Other options considered were: to purchase a quarter of a power plant; purchase ownership of other power plants that were not as affordable as this contract. The SPPA proposal, with levelized costs, scored the lowest on a cost-basis and provided the most flexibility. Mr. Moyes explained Integrated Resources Planning (IRP) solicited a number of alternatives and completed a very in-depth evaluation of all alternatives through the IRP process. Initially, the group thought it would be best to own an asset to be able to predict a more stable cost and not be subject to the market place. The proposed PPA is overwhelmingly better than any other option explored in respect to owning or building a power plant. "Cost for the construction of plants has gone through the roof." Mr. Delaney once again reiterated the steps of getting to this point.

Interim City Attorney Sims stated he is present tonight because Mr. Skeete called him with some concerns of the agreement. The public process was addressed in 2011 when the agreement was signed and was made based on the assumptions described tonight by Mr. Delaney. Tonight, the intent is to improve the documents. Safford is one of a very few jurisdictions in the state who provides all utilities. He explained Mr. Skeete's concern is that perhaps this wasn't the best economic deal, but is attempting to make it better. His concern is utility customers are now paying to get a benefit years from now. It was a decision the Council made in 2011.

Previously, Mr. Skeete asked Mr. Delaney to perform an analysis for last year using this agreement and discovered power cost would have cost the City approximately \$600,000 more last year.

Mr. Moyes added what utility customers are currently paying is much better than any other alternative out there especially for the City to meet its 21 MW and 3 MW of demand of under contract. He resists the notion that we are paying over and above what we bought in order to save it for later on. The alternative being compared to is a very unique sort of "perfect storm"

set of circumstances as it relates to CRSP Power and CRSP Pool, Hoover Program, and Hoover Layoff and Exchange Program. The current situation with Navajo is very much up in flux and allowing some entities in these pools to get the benefit of an auction where they can purchase Navajo power very inexpensively. Therefore, don't need some of the other resources that Safford is able to get more of because of being under contract. All those factors were in place last year and to plug those numbers in would be more expensive. You can't count on that unique combination of events and structures happening for the long term on the other resources. Also, we can't guarantee what is going to happen in the Hoover reallocation process. In 1985 the City of Safford was offered an allocation of Hoover B power which was then taken away and was given to the CAP Project. Mr. Moyes stated he represented four irrigation districts that did not have the ability to get CAP water, just like Safford, Thatcher, Wickenburg and Page. He challenged the allocation at the Arizona Power Authority that took the B power away from those entities who couldn't get CAP water and successfully negotiated a settlement agreement that brought Safford's Hoover B power back to Safford only because it was on the list of entities who did not have CAP water. Safford got that benefit as a "tag-along" to the districts that fought for and paid for power. We do not know what's going to happen with the reallocation of the Hoover agreement in 2017, other than there has been a 5% cut set aside for new allocates. However, there is no guarantee that the existing allocates will get to keep what they have. Although, just saw proposed allocations from Western for most of that 5%, but are hopeful, and will work hard on the behalf of Safford and along with other clients to keep what we have. Looking at Safford's total picture today, the commitment made in 2011 was very thoroughly examined and scrutinized and was clearly a prudent transaction to make at that point and time. This proposal will make it even better and will minimize those negatives (there still are some negatives) through this new prudent structure because Safford does not have a whole lot of power under contracted service.

Mr. Delaney referred back to the analysis he ran for Mr. Skeete in regards to power rates for last fiscal year. He said last summer, gas prices and power prices were extremely low. The majority of those additional costs come from comparing those low prices. However, if you look at a current analysis through this summer, you would see over the last couple months where it flipped. This contract would have saved money for July and August verses what was done in May and June.

Mayor Gibbs commented that Hoover Dam is below its 1934 water level. How much longer is Hoover Dam going to be able to provide power? The Bureau of Reclamation (BOR) said by 2017, allocations of water may be cut by 25%. Additionally, heard that Navajo agreed to reduce by one unit.

Mr. Moyes responded that there are so many other elements of un-certainties as it relates to Hoover power - continue to fight battles with environmentalists at Glen Canyon. Those elements will impose unpredictable consequences on the power supply picture and market.

Mr. Delaney stated all of these elements were considered in 2011 and were part of the rationale to find something. There was a need to do something with existing generators (the value of capacity), especially when coal plants continue to be attacked and continue to be forced to shut down: three units have been shut down at Four Corners, two units are scheduled to shut down at San Juan, and one unit will be shut down at Navajo. Also, he referred to the coal plant by Laughlin that was taken apart and shipped to China.

Councilman Lopez inquired about a proposal to build a plant in the Bowie area.

Mr. Delaney responded that Bowie has a gas powered plant and did submit a bid on the RFP. However, Bowie has a hard time competing with the units in the Valley because of elevation issues. It has a sighted permit, but the economics of the Bowie plant has not been attractive to the SPPR Group.

Mr. Delaney invited the Council to contact him or Jay Moyes if they have any additional questions. They left the meeting at 8:36:23 p.m.

10. MEETINGS/ACTIVITIES HELD OR TO BE HELD BY COUNCIL OR CITY STAFF:

Dustin Welker attended the Rural Policy Forum in Globe this past weekend. He was asked to be a presenter at the Forum and did a presentation on the successes of Main Street. It was a very good Forum and had good representation from Safford and Graham County.

11. COUNCIL OR STAFF REQUESTS FOR AGENDA ITEMS:

Arnold Lopez:

- Requested update of AMI Metering Project. He will provide a list of questions to the City Manager.
- Expressed sympathy to the passing of Senator Chester Crandall. He was a good friend to the Valley.
- Acknowledged the hard work and dedication of the teachers and administrators of the Safford Unified School District and congratulate them on another year of outstanding performance.

12. FUTURE MEETINGS/ANNOUNCEMENTS:

- August 19-22, 2014 - League of Arizona Cities & Towns Annual Conference (Mayor Gibbs, Arnold Lopez, Mary Bingham and Gene Seale will attend)
- August 25, 2014 - Consider cancelling Work Session (**Cancelled Meeting**)
- August 26, 2014 - Primary Election
- September 8, 2014 - Regular Council Meeting
- September 22, 2014 - Council Work Session

13. CITIZEN COMMENTS ON NON-AGENDA ITEMS: NONE

14. ADJOURN: It was moved by Councilman Malloque, seconded by Vice Mayor Bingham, and carried unanimously to adjourn the meeting at 8:43:58 p.m.
MOTION ADOPTED

APPROVED:

Wyn "Chris" Gibbs, Mayor
City of Safford

ATTEST:

Georgia Luster, MMC
City Clerk

STATE OF ARIZONA)
) ss
County of Graham)

CERTIFICATION

I hereby certify that the foregoing minutes are a true and correct copy of the Special Council Meeting Minutes of the Safford City Council, Graham County, Arizona held Monday, August 11, 2014, and approved at a Regular Council Meeting on Monday, September 8, 2014. I further certify the meeting was duly called, held and that a quorum was present.

September 8, 2014
Date: _____

Georgia Luster, MMC, City Clerk